

ROUND 2 RUBRIC

Team Name _____

Name of Reviewer _____

Institution Name _____

Based on the team’s Round 2 submission, please **score each of the following sections from 1 to 5**. A score of 1 shows little or no evidence of that particular criteria while a score of 5 shows ample evidence.

No.	Evaluation Criteria	Score	Notes
1	This social innovation has potential for substantial social or environmental impact		
2	This social innovation has potential for a breadth of social or environmental impact because it is either scalable or replicable in other contexts.		
3	There is evidence that this social innovation is acceptable and desirable to the target community/customer based on the team’s research and/or tests performed.		
4	This social innovation has a viable plan for financial sustainability over time.		



5	This social innovation is feasible and the team is ready and capable to execute.		
6	The proposed solution is innovative (either because it presents an innovative solution, a new population or geography for this solution, or a new way to deliver this solution) and shows how the proposed solution is an improvement upon other available solutions.		
7	This proposal has evaluated the basic obstacles and risks involved with the social innovation and thought through some ways to address these challenges.		

<p>Overall Submission View</p> <p>Should this team be one of the two finalist teams that represent our university in the Global Social Innovation Challenge finals?</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>
--	----------------------



If you said yes or no to the answer above: Why or why not?

