Senate Agenda

Thursday, May 9, 2019
12:30pm
University of San Diego,
University Center, 107

The public is invited and encouraged to attend and participate in all Associated Students Senate Meetings. All meetings are open to the public, and are accessible to those with disabilities. Public participation in all discussions is welcomed, and the public is invited to address the Student Senate on non-Agenda items during Final Business and Hearing of the Public.

1. Call to Order (12:30pm)
   1. Meeting called to order at 12:30
2. Roll Call
   1. Elena takes roll
3. Approval of the Agenda, May 9 (12:32pm)
   1. Motion to approve the agenda: Rameen
      i. Seconded; unanimously approved
4. Approval of the Minutes, May 2 (12:34pm)
   1. Motion to approve the minutes: jesse
      i. Seconded: Marion; 1 abstention
5. Reports from Chairs of Student Senate (3 Minutes Each) (12:36pm)
   1. Allison: Torero Timeout thursday the 16th; 8:00-8:20
   2. Shanti: reminds everyone also about Torero Timeout, music from 8:05-8:15, there will be free coffee
   3. Camden: $12,400 has been allocated for research grants, AS resolution has been signed by everyone and will be sent out; study space initiatives are still being worked on
   4. Jesse: reads a statement; change to the name of the committee from inclusion and diversity to inclusion, diversity and equity; gives thanks to the members of the committee and the advisors
6. New Business

1. Presentation and Vote on Fall 2019 Budget (12:54pm)
   i. Stephanie presents the new budget for Fall 2019
   ii. Wanted to change how more than 90% of the budget was allocated in the Fall and changed that to allocate 63%
   iii. There will be $64,000 in the reserves
   iv. Includes sports centers for the year, not just the Fall
   v. Asks for any questions
   vi. Reijer: only the NYT and not the WSJ was funded, wants to voice that both should be funded
      1. Stephanie: either decreasing the funds for travel funds/scholarship funds and decided to cut WSJ because not as many students know about it
      2. Rowan: reiterates that he thinks that it would be a good idea to keep both the WSJ and NYT
      3. Marion: asks how much it would be to include the WSJ
         a. Stephanie: $12,000
         b. Funded $13,000: to keep one and that the executive board can decide
         c. Reijer: it would be less than one percent for the Fall semester
      4. Rameen: what would you recommend to take funds from to have the journal
         a. Dean: TPB has some funding that could be cut
         b. Jesse: almost $20,000 was cut from the TPB budget
         c. Rameen: TPB are large-scale events
         d. Pitrina: it is significantly less and it is the bare minimum that can be used
         e. Dean: brings up expenditures for entertainment
         f. Natasha: there is nothing wrong with funding the program, can vote with the contingency if you all want to move to approve that into the budget, if there is a desire to include that in the budget for next year, it can be done
         g. Rameen: finance committee met for multiple hours
h. Dean: last semester, we spent $30,000 for Antoni when that could be used for STD/HIV testing
i. Natasha: different options moving forward, everyone in the Finance Committee is aware of the jobs and where all of the funding is going; body can be voted to be included in the budget
j. Rowan: not a discussion on TPB

vii. Rowan: Motion to allocate $12,000 from general more to fund the online subscription for the WSJ, seconded
   1. PJ: question of how to purchase the subscription, is it only in the School of Business, were all of the subscriptions accessed?
   2. Dean: not trying to denounce the work of TPB; wants to re-think of how funding
   3. Stephanie: centers can only receive 20% of the budget, we can only fund to a certain extent
   4. Jesse: not our job to always have to fund everything that the university should be funded, TPB is a part of their experience, have to have a balance of student activities, if someone does not like a certain event you can speak with TPB, you can change the bylaws to change how much funding centers get
   5. Dean: would like to see what students actually need such as textbooks
   6. Marion: clarification that it is not being taken out from another fund
      a. 8 in favor, 3 opposed, 7 abstentions

b. Motion fails

viii. Motion to approve the Fall 2019 budget as written, seconded
   1. Point of clarification
   2. Point of clarification: majority of senators in attendance
   3. Shanti: clarification of capital expenses
   4. Dean: we turned away Women’s commons for the retreat
      a. Stephanie: 20% was already reached
   5. Natasha: that request was being made from Senate reserves, they voted to not fund all of it
   6. Alexa: acknowledges what he says; agrees that there are times where there is frustration for a lot of events on campus and there are students
who are struggling, time and place now we are trying to approve the budgets, within the committee of TPB, they can decide on how to spend those funds, go to TPB, be a part of it and share your voice; what do you propose for TPB especially considering that they cannot move forward with less funds

7. Point of order, time on the agenda has reached time
8. Dean: $100,000 could be cut from TPB
9. Alexa: spending at the centers is at $20; that $100,000 can be used for programming through TPB
10. Pitrina: $ will not go into the reserves, TPB’s purpose is for programming
11. Dean: we should decide what funding should be made on
12. Jen: in the past, finance committee allocated more in the past and there were decisions made in the Finance Committee
13. Jesse: asks if he can motion to extend time
14. Alex: there is a motion
15. Jesse: this semester, we decided to only fund half of it because of being upset of having to use reserves and now we do have the money; for the new senate: encourages people to become a chair to be in the discussions
16. Marion: understands the need for events and the questioning of these large scale events, you’re talking about making the events more beneficial, more focus on the restructure of TPB events and that does not have to do with the budget, recommends to join TPB or voice concerns
17. Dean: it is a budget concern, because senate funds things such as the online subscriptions, should change budget to fund the centers
18. Jose: reminds everyone that there is an HIV testing event; sees no problem in funding TPB, recommends that the incoming center that the health center and the school provide services such as testing; move forward with budget, if you’re concern is STI, ask the school and that should come from the school
19. Dean: clarifies that it stigma of STD/STI is a real thing that can be fixed with programs
   a. Jose: there are student fees
b. Point of order
20. Stephanie: encourages you all to vote
21. Camden: big discussion with college readership program, now there are only two digital forms, emphasizes the importance of the newspapers
22. Natasha: clarifies for online subscription
23. Rowan: will not vote because of the online subscription
24. Favor: 10 in favor; 5 opposed; 3 abstentions

25. Motion passes
ix. Stephanie presents the earmark funds: $23,000 and the remainder of the funds (at least $17,000 will be put back into the reserve)
   1. Discussion reached time
   2. Motion to extend line item A for 10 more minutes; 1 abstention; motion passes
   3. Natasha: clarification of the approval of earmarks
   4. Rowan: temporarily excused
   5. Camden: discussion is on earmark
   6. Reijer: $40,000 left, voting on $23,000; why was the water fountain was not funded
      a. Stephanie: voting members voted against it
      b. Shanti: vote of water fountain, 3 in favor, 1 abstained and 4 against, not unanimous
      c. Marion: understands the work but because of a constraint budget, as someone who is in there, she is fine with it and doesn’t mind going to La P for water; understands why it is not a priority for our current budget
      d. Natasha: discussion in finance committee, wanted to allocate a certain amount of $ to be earmarked for next year to be prioritized, to replenishing the reserves for more $ to go into next year to be utilized, prioritized everything that was funding; in the Fall the Senate also denied the initiative and the finance committee also denied it; prioritization of the existing funds
      e. Alex: emphasizes the conversations
f. Dean: conversation that was had in the Fall; is it a want or a need?; reality that we do not really need it

g. Reijer: body can change to include the funding and move $12,000 to the reserves

h. Jen: only amount of $ to be moved from this year into next year, if you make them as close to $64,000 that means less $ needs to be taken from next year’s budget as much

i. Camden: asks for clarification at the presidential conference
   i. Natasha asks for clarification; leadership training, been funded in the past 4 or 5 years according to her knowledge, president and vice president
   ii. C: Effectiveness of the conference?
   iii. Marion: asks for breakdown and Natasha gives clarification and it is the only training the receive when it comes to being leaders of this campus, recommends people can also reach out to Chris

7. Motion to approve the earmarks as requested, seconded
   a. No further discussion; 14 in favor; 3 opposed
   b. **Motion passes**

x. Funding for Department of Biology Travel Grant (1:15pm)
   1. Line item cancelled, no rep from the dept of biology for the request, will no be in attendance, line item will be skipped

2. Swearing In Of 2019-2020 Student Senate (1:30pm)
   i. Alexander calls new members to the front and reads the oath of office for new members
   ii. New team takes over
   iii. Natasha makes outgoing remarks
   iv. Alexander also gives closing remarks
   v. Elena reminds everyone about banquet
   vi. Jen: Joshua Coyne has reached out about an internship opportunity, paid, flexible hours, send resume to jcoyne@sandiego.gov
   1. Thanks yous
   vii. Timmy: also says goodbyes
1. Parking re-appeals

7. Final Business and Hearing of the Public. *At this time, any Senator may take from the table any motion previously laid on the table in the meeting the week before or present urgent business; any member of the public may voice any concerns to the assembly on non agenda items.* (1:40pm)

   1. Luke Garrett: asks for overview on the decision of the WSJ or NYT
      
      i. Stephanie: clarifies, one subscription that will be made by the exec team over the summer
      
      ii. Jen: staff will look who uses each subscription, will work the companies to see how much is used of both, will try and find a way to fund both

   2. Asks if the resolution about the CRP that was passed
      
      i. Natasha: individuals who casted the vote, the individuals did not make it, so those individuals did not move forward, it is sitting

8. Adjournment (1:50pm)

   1. Motion to adjourn the meeting- Fanisee, seconded, no further discussion, unanimously approved