

New Issue: MOODY'S ASSIGNS A2 RATING TO UNIVERSITY OF SAN DIEGO'S (CA) SERIES 2012A REFUNDING REVENUE BONDS; OUTLOOK IS POSITIVE

Global Credit Research - 25 Apr 2012

UNIVERSITY WILL HAVE \$175 MILLION OF PRO-FORMA RATED DEBT, INCLUDING THE CURRENT OFFERING

CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL FINANCE AUTHORITY
Private Colleges & Universities
CA

Moody's Rating

ISSUE	RATING
Refunding Revenue Bonds (University of San Diego), Series 2012A	A2
Sale Amount \$11,000,000	
Expected Sale Date 05/30/12	
Rating Description Revenue: 501c3 Unsecured General Obligation	

Moody's Outlook POS

Opinion

NEW YORK, April 25, 2012 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned an A2 rating to the University of San Diego's approximately \$11 million California Municipal Finance Authority ("CMFA") Series 2012A Refunding Revenue bonds. The rating outlook is positive.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The A2 rating reflects the University of San Diego's ("USD" or the university) stable enrollment growth, strong operating margins, growing balance sheet, and effective financial controls. The rating also incorporates the highly competitive student market, evidenced by a low and declining matriculation rate (17% for fall 2011); high dependence on student charges, and 51% of debt in variable rate mode.

STRENGTHS:

*Stable market position with diverse programs and improving selectivity at the undergraduate level. Full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollment was 7,748 in fall 2011, of which 71% was undergraduate. Freshman undergraduate selectivity was 47.5% in fall 2011, slightly stronger than the prior year at 51%. Management reports a 20% increase in freshmen applications for fall 2012 over last year.

*Robust operating performance, with a 23% operating cash flow margin in FY 2011, provided healthy debt service coverage of 4.9 times, and supported balance sheet growth since the university retained the majority of its surpluses.

*Growing financial resources provide healthy coverage of pro-forma debt and operations. Expendable financial resources grew a notable 57% last year to \$250.4 million in FY 2011 due to a 19% endowment return, gift revenue and surplus reserves transferred to the quasi-endowment.

*Strong financial controls, conservative budgeting and long-term planning. In 2003, the board committed to growing the endowment to \$500 million through investment returns, donations and tuition transfers to the quasi endowment by FY 2013.

CHALLENGES:

*High reliance on tuition and auxiliary revenue for 84% of total operating revenue as of FY 2011 per Moody's calculation. USD has seen variable net tuition revenue per student growth over the past five years, with a 2.3% increase in FY 2011 but recent declines of 5% and 0.3% in FY 2009 and FY 2010 respectively.

*USD operates in a very competitive environment, with lower-cost public institutions and many strong private universities in California, evidenced by a low matriculation rate that continues to decline and was 17.3% in fall 2011.

*Monthly liquidity of \$147 million in FY 2011 provided adequate coverage of variable rate demand debt at 1.45 times, though below the FY 2010 median of 2.16 for A-rated private colleges and universities. Monthly liquidity provided satisfactory 225 days coverage of expenses in FY 2011, on a total expense base of \$254 million.

*Potential for new debt issuance in the next 3 to 5 years.

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

USE OF PROCEEDS: The Series 2012A Refunding Revenue Bonds will be issued by the California Municipal Finance Authority and bond proceeds will be used to refund the Series 2002 Revenue bonds. The university will also provide up to \$3.5 million of its own equity in addition to the bond proceeds to cover remaining refunding costs.

LEGAL SECURITY: The Series 2012A Refunding Revenue Bonds are an unsecured general obligation of the university.

Similar to the university's other outstanding debt, the university covenants that it will not issue any additional debt unless unrestricted and temporarily restricted net assets equals at least 200% of the university's debt outstanding. USD must also maintain its accreditation with the Western Association of Schools and Colleges and the American Bar Association, and not take any action that would impair the tax-exempt status of the bonds.

DEBT STRUCTURE: The Series 2012A Revenue Bonds will be fixed-rate, 20-year tax-exempt debt with principal payments made from 2029 to 2032.

The university has \$184.3 million in pro-forma direct debt based on FY 2011 financials, including \$81.6 million of fixed rate debt and \$93.4 million of Series 2005 variable rate bonds (51% of direct debt is in a variable rate mode). In addition, the college has \$1.5 million of capital leases and a \$7.8 million term loan with US Bank Equipment Finance for energy savings projects.

The university's variable rate Series 2005 is supported by a Letter of Credit (LOC) from Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (Aa3/P-1 with a negative outlook). We note that the current debt structure adds additional risk since the bank could accelerate bond payments or terminate the LOC under certain circumstances. These circumstances include failure to maintain at least a Baa3 rating on rated debt or failure to meet certain financial covenants, including debt service coverage of at least 1.25 times and an unrestricted and temporarily restricted net assets ratio of at least 200% of pro-forma debt. According to the university's calculations as of the end of FY 2011, USD had significant headroom for these covenant requirements, with 3.5 times debt service coverage and 424% unrestricted and temporarily restricted net assets coverage of pro-forma debt. The university must also maintain its accreditation by the Western Association of Schools and Colleges at all times. The Letter of Credit expires on November 1, 2015.

USD has a \$10 million line of credit with Wells Fargo for working capital; however the university has not drawn on this line of credit over the past ten years. The line of credit has a covenant that unrestricted net assets be 1.5 times the current portion of long-term debt; as of fiscal year end FY 2011, USD's coverage was an ample 4.2 times.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES: USD entered into a LIBOR-based swap agreement with Société Générale, New York branch (rated A1, rating under review for downgrade) in conjunction with its Series 2005 bonds. The counterparty may terminate the swap under certain conditions including the university's rating falling below Baa3 by Moody's or BBB by S&P. In addition, if the university's rating falls below Baa2 by Moody's or BBB by S&P, USD will have to post collateral (\$0 threshold). As of March 30, 2012, the mark-to-market valuation for the agreements was a liability to USD of \$26.18 million and no collateral has ever been posted. The swap termination date is October 1, 2045. Given USD's satisfactory liquidity and prudent management, Moody's believes the risks associated with this derivative structure are manageable at the current rating level.

**MARKET POSITION/COMPETITIVE STRATEGY: STABLE ENROLLMENT AND DIVERSE PROGRAMS;
COMPETITIVE STUDENT MARKET AND VARIABLE NET TUITION REVENUE PER STUDENT**

The University of San Diego is an urban, Catholic university located in San Diego, CA that benefits from a diversity of undergraduate and graduate programs. As of fall 2011, 71% of students were undergraduates, and the university offers 33 master's degrees, three doctoral degrees, five masters of law degrees, and a Juris Doctorate.

We believe that the University of San Diego will continue to see stable enrollment given increased applications. Freshman applications were 13,867 in FY 2011, a 14% increase over fall 2010, and management expects a 20% increase for fall 2012. Many colleges across the country have seen an increase in applications, but particularly so for private colleges in California given enrollment caps at the state universities. In line with increased applications, the college has become more selective for freshmen, with a selective rate of 47.5% in fall 2011 down from 51.3% the prior year. Management anticipates a 40% acceptance rate for fall 2012, with 52% of students coming from outside California (up from 48% in fall 2011). Yield has also declined and was a low 17.3% for fall 2011, reflecting the increased applicant pool as well as the competitive student market.

Total FTE for undergraduates was 5,459 for fall 2011, a 2.6% increase over fall 2010. The college plans to keep the freshman class size at 1,100 to 1,150 students, but may explore increasing the class size in the longer-term. An increased size would require additional housing and parking. The university plans to redesign the college's core curriculum, which was a suggestion from the university's accreditation review process by the Western Association of Schools & Colleges. The core curriculum will aim to be more coherent, integrated and flexible; and some courses will be updated. In addition, the university will continue to prioritize undergraduate research opportunities, and is piloting a living learning community through which a cohort of freshmen study, live and engage in community service together.

Enrollment at the graduate and professional level has increased, except for the law school. Total FTE for the graduate school (including the paralegal program) was 1,291 in fall 2011, a 2.8% increase from fall 2010. The fall 2011 entering law school class size declined 9.4% from fall 2010, though FTE for the entire law school remained stable over last year. Though applications have increased for other graduate programs, the law school's applications for fall 2011 fell a significant 17% from fall 2010, above the approximately 11% decline for law schools nationally according to the American Bar Association journal.

OPERATING PERFORMANCE: STRONG OPERATING MARGINS LEADING TO ENDOWMENT GROWTH; VARIABLE NET TUITION REVENUE GROWTH PAST FEW YEARS

Moody's expects that USD will continue to see high operating margins in the future given conservative budgeting and expense control measures, as well as tuition increases with plans to keep the discount rate flat. The university's operating cash flow margin was a strong 22.7% in FY 2011 due to a 7% increase in net tuition revenue as well as grants and contracts and gift revenue growth. Management also reports expense cuts from reduced utilities costs, lower non-instructional labor costs, and debt service reductions through debt refundings. USD's strong operating performance provided healthy debt service coverage of 4.87 times in FY 2011, above the median of 3.03 times for private universities in the A rating category.

For FY 2012, the university expects similar or better operating performance relative to FY 2011, through under-budgeting enrollment and lower expenses due to reduced utilities and a flat discount rate (accomplished by reducing the number of students who receive merit scholarships). For FY 2012, management anticipates an operating surplus of \$14 million (similar to FY 2011's surplus), a large portion of which will be invested in the long-term pool.

USD is heavily dependent on tuition and auxiliary revenue, representing 84.5% of total operating revenues in FY 2011 per Moody's calculation. In FY 2009 and FY 2010, USD saw declining net tuition per student, with 4.9% and 0.3% declines respectively, due to an increasing discount rate. As of FY 2011 the college experienced 2.3% net tuition per student growth. While growing net tuition will be challenging given the competitive student market, we expect modest increases moving forward given plans to increase tuition moderately (3.5% for undergraduates in fall 2012) while holding the discount rate flat. The discount rate was 29% in fall 2011 per Moody's calculation.

BALANCE SHEET POSITION: SIGNIFICANT GROWTH IN FINANCIAL RESOURCES PROVIDED INCREASED COVERAGE FOR DEBT AND OPERATIONS AT RATING LEVEL

USD experienced a significant 57% increase in expendable financial resources last year, and we expect the university will continue to see endowment growth due to retained operating surplus, future fundraising efforts and tight budget controls. Expendable financial resources were \$250.4 million in FY 2011, providing good coverage of pro-forma debt and operations at 1.36 and 0.99 times, respectively. The board of trustees is focused on growing the university's endowment and in 2003 began an ambitious ten-year plan to grow the endowment to \$500 million.

The university is growing its endowment through market performance, gifts and \$13 million of operating surplus per year transferred to the quasi endowment. As of FY 2011, the endowment was \$327 million (up from \$97 million in FY 2003), of which over \$100 million represented the unrestricted, quasi endowment. Even though only two years remain to reach the goal, senior management indicated that the board of trustees is committed to growing the endowment and will likely extend the timeframe.

Per Moody's calculation, investment income represented 6% of total operating revenue in FY 2011. As of February 29, 2012, endowment return year-to-date was 0%, with no concentration of fund managers. The endowment return was 19% in FY 2011 and 14% in FY 2010. As of fiscal-year end 2011, the endowment was invested 37.2% in equities, 15.9% in fixed income, 22.2% in hedge funds, 10.3% in private equity, 13.4% in commodities and alternatives, and 1% in cash. Cambridge Associates is the university's investment advisor, though the investment committee of the board makes the final investment decisions and may also meet with managers.

Total gift revenue increased to \$24 million in FY 2011 (up from \$18 million in FY 2010), but despite this growth the university's average gifts per student remain relatively weak at \$2,331 as of FY 2011, below the FY 2010 \$3,703 median for A-rated private colleges and universities. Management reports that fundraising is hampered by the university's young age - it was founded in 1956 - resulting in fewer alumni, but as time passes, we expect gift revenue to increase. USD's various schools are responsible for individual fundraising and many have seen large gifts recently. In addition, gifts recently fully funded a new baseball field (\$14 million project cost), and is in the preliminary stages of planning the next campaign.

According to management, the university would consider issuing additional debt in the next three to five years. However, USD hopes to fund most of their future capital projects with private donations. USD hopes to build a new business school facility with private funding, and will aim to minimize potential debt issuance. Management may also start a school of engineering, and would use private gifts to renovate existing spaces. In addition, the master plan also includes additional athletic facilities, which would be built if there is sufficient private funding, and there is a housing study currently underway to support a potential requirement for sophomores to live on campus, increased undergraduate enrollment, and the relocation of certain student residences to create additional classroom and office space.

As of FY 2011, USD's monthly liquidity was \$147 million, providing adequate coverage of the \$93.4 million Series 2005 variable rate debt at 1.45 times, though below the FY 2010 2.12 median for A rated private universities. In addition to variable rate debt, as of fiscal-year end 2011, the university had \$24.2 million in unfunded investment commitments. Monthly liquidity also provides satisfactory 225 days coverage of expenses.

GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT

The experienced, competent management staff at the University of San Diego is a credit positive. USD has prudent budget measures and, along with the board, is focused on growing the endowment through operating surplus transfers. The university budgets conservatively such that enrollment always meets or exceeds the budget.

Management implemented additional checks and instituted new policies after learning lessons during the economic decline of 2009. For example, the university made several changes after certain auction rate securities became temporarily illiquid and their cash reserves hit a low point in summer 2009. The university has since eliminated its investment in auction rate securities and plans to nearly double cash held in short and medium term government bonds. The university also created a construction funding policy, which states that USD cannot build without 50-75% of gifts in hand and the remainder to be collected within 5 years of the start of construction. In addition, USD amended its debt policy, which now only allows debt for mission-critical needs.

USD's board of trustees includes two members of the Diocese of San Diego and two members of the Religious of the Sacred Heart. The other board members are lay, have diverse professional backgrounds and many attended USD. The university has a conflict of interest policy for its board of trustees.

The university benchmarks itself against ten other comparable schools, as well as public and private colleges in California.

Outlook

The positive outlook reflects Moody's expectation that the University of San Diego will continue to experience financial resource growth, strong operating surpluses and increased net tuition and gift revenue.

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING UP

Improved market position, with improved or stable matriculation rates and net tuition per student growth; continued upward trend in financial resource growth providing stronger coverage of debt, particularly given potential plans for additional debt issuance in the future; increased revenue diversity.

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING DOWN

Weakened student market, including further declines in the matriculation rate; stagnant or negative net tuition per student revenue; significant additional debt without commensurate financial resource growth.

KEY INDICATORS (Fall 2011 enrollment data and FY 2011 financial data):

Total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Enrollment: 7,748 students

Net Tuition per Student: \$27,040

Educational Expenses per Student: \$26,099

Average Gifts per Student: \$2,331

Total Cash and Investments: \$438.3 million

Total Pro-forma Direct Debt: \$184.3 million

Total Comprehensive Debt: \$184.3 million

Expendable Financial Resources-to-Direct Debt: 1.36 times

Expendable Financial Resources-to-Operations: 0.99 times

Monthly Days Cash on Hand: 225 days

Total Operating Revenue: \$296 million

Operating Cash Flow Margin: 22.7%

Three-Year Average Debt Service Coverage: 4.37 times

Reliance on Student Charges (Tuition, Fees and Auxiliaries): 84.5%

RATED DEBT

Series 1999 Capital Appreciation Bonds: A2

Series 2002 Revenue Bonds: A2 (to be refunded)

Series 2005 VRDO Revenue Bonds: A2

CEFA Series 2011: A2

CMFA Series 2011A&B: A2

CONTACTS

University: Terry Kalfayan, Assistant Vice President of Budget and Treasury, (619) 260-4683

Underwriter: Alexandra Iseman, Vice President at George K. Baum & Company, (303) 391-5597

PRINCIPAL RATING METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was U.S. Not-for-Profit Private and Public Higher Education published in August 2011. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moody.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

The Global Scale Credit Ratings on this press release that are issued by one of Moody's affiliates outside the EU are endorsed by Moody's Investors Service Ltd., One Canada Square, Canary Wharf, London E 14 5FA, UK, in accordance with Art.4 paragraph 3 of the Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 on Credit Rating Agencies. Further information on the EU endorsement status and on the Moody's office that has issued a particular Credit Rating is available on www.moody's.com.

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moody's.com.

Information sources used to prepare the rating are the following: parties involved in the ratings, parties not involved in the ratings, public information, confidential and proprietary Moody's Investors Service's information, and confidential and proprietary Moody's Analytics' information.

Moody's considers the quality of information available on the rated entity, obligation or credit satisfactory for the purposes of issuing a rating.

Moody's adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, Moody's is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

Please see the ratings disclosure page on www.moody's.com for general disclosure on potential conflicts of interests.

Please see the ratings disclosure page on www.moody's.com for information on (A) MCO's major shareholders (above 5%) and for (B) further information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities as well as (C) the names of entities that hold ratings from MIS that have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%. A member of the board of directors of this rated entity may also be a member of the board of directors of a shareholder of Moody's Corporation; however, Moody's has not independently verified this matter.

Please see Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions on the Rating Process page on www.moody's.com for further information on the meaning of each rating category and the definition of default and recovery.

Please see ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the last rating action and the rating history.

The date on which some ratings were first released goes back to a time before Moody's ratings were fully digitized and accurate data may not be available. Consequently, Moody's provides a date that it believes is the most reliable and accurate based on the information that is available to it. Please see the ratings disclosure page on our website www.moody's.com for further information.

Please see www.moody's.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Analysts

Emily Schwarz
Lead Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Pranav Sharma

Backup Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Diane F. Viacava
Additional Contact
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
USA



© 2012 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have

any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this document is by MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, credit ratings assigned on and after October 1, 2010 by Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") are MJKK's current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. In such a case, "MIS" in the foregoing statements shall be deemed to be replaced with "MJKK". MJKK is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO.

This credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be dangerous for retail investors to make any investment decision based on this credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.